

Case Number:	CM13-0023995		
Date Assigned:	03/28/2014	Date of Injury:	08/22/2006
Decision Date:	04/28/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/19/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application	09/18/2013
		Received:	

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 52-year-old male with an 8/22/06 date of injury. At the time (8/2/13) of request for authorization for Ondansetron-Zofran 4mg #10, there is documentation of subjective (increased pain in his lower back, intermittent numbness in the anterolateral aspect of the right lower extremity that radiates from the hip down to the knee, and increased nausea) and objective (ambulates with a one point cane) findings, current diagnoses (lumbar disc displacement without myelopathy and sciatica), and treatment to date (medication). There is no documentation of nausea and vomiting secondary to chemotherapy and radiation treatment, postoperative use, or acute use for gastroenteritis.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

ONDANSETRON-ZOFRAN 4MG #10: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 11th Edition (web), 2013, pain, Ondansetron (Zofran), Physicians' Desk Reference (PDR), 67th Edition, 2013 and Drugs.com.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain Chapter, Antiemetcis (for opioid nausea).

Decision rationale: MTUS does not address the issue. ODG identifies documentation of nausea and vomiting secondary to chemotherapy and radiation treatment, postoperative use, or acute use for gastroenteritis, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Ondansetron (Zofran). Within the medical information made available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of lumbar disc displacement without myelopathy and sciatica. In addition, there is documentation of increased nausea. However, there is no documentation of nausea and vomiting secondary to chemotherapy and radiation treatment, postoperative use, or acute use for gastroenteritis. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Ondansetron-Zofran 4mg #10 is not medically necessary and appropriate.